1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BLANCA F. YOUNG (State Bar No. 217 blanca.young@mto.com ACHYUT J. PHADKE (State Bar No. 26 achyut.phadke@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Attorneys for Defendants CORINTHIAN COLLEGES, INC., DAVID MOORE, and JACK D. MASSIMINO	1567)
8	JACK D. MASSIMINO	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
11		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL. NYOKA LEE and TALALA	Case No. CV 07-01984 PSG (MANx)
13 14	MSHUJA, Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF BLANCA F. YOUNG IN SUPPORT OF SCHOOL DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
15	VS.	SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES
16	CORINTHIAN COLLEGES, INC., et	Judge: Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez
17	al.,	Courtroom: 880 Date: June 10, 2013
18	Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m.
19		
20		
21		
22	EXHIBIT 2 FILED UNDER SE	AL FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW
23	PUBLIC VERSION	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1	1	

20532595.2 CV 07-01984 PSG (MANx)
YOUNG DECLARATION ISO SCHOOL DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES

DECLARATION OF BLANCA F. YOUNG

I, Blanca F. Young, hereby declare:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Munger Tolles & Olson, LLP ("MTO"), lead counsel of record for Defendants Corinthian Colleges, Inc. (the "School"), David Moore, and Jack D. Massimino (collectively, the "School Defendants"). I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in the State of California and before this Court. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and if called upon to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto under oath.
- 2. MTO maintains active practices in litigation and corporate matters. The firm's clients include many of the largest corporations in California and the nation, as well as other law firms and international corporations. MTO has earned a reputation for handling particularly complicated litigation matters, including multiple high-profile False Claims Act cases across the country.
- 3. The School Defendants seek compensation for the work of MTO attorneys and staff on this matter since February 25, 2009, the date when the complaint was unsealed in this case. Attached as **Exhibit 1** hereto is a summary of the hours worked and billing rates of MTO attorneys and staff members who have billed work on this case since February 25, 2009. The summary states by year, from 2009 to 2013, the number of hours spent and fees incurred by the attorneys and staff for work on this matter.
- 4. The summary has been prepared based on billing records that were prepared in keeping with the timekeeping and billing policies of MTO, with which I am familiar. Under those policies, a daily log is prepared by each attorney and staff member setting forth the work done that day for each client and matter, as well as the amount of time worked, in six minute increments. Those logs are entered into our computer billing system. Each attorney and staff member then reviews his or her entries to ensure accuracy. Monthly Billing Information Memoranda ("BIMs") are generated showing all work done by all timekeepers for each client, organized

32595.2

4 | 5 |

by matter. Each month as the bills were prepared, I reviewed the BIMs for this matter upon which the bills were prepared and sent to the School.

- 5. MTO's accounting department has prepared a compilation of all billing entries for work done on this matter from February 25, 2009 through March 18, 2013 by attorneys and litigation staff for which the School Defendants seek compensation, as reflected in BIMs for this matter. Attached as **Exhibit 2** hereto is a true an correct copy of this compilation of entries, which was produced by MTO's accounting department working under my supervision.
- 6. MTO has billed the School \$1,037,812 in attorneys' fees and expenses on this matter since February 25, 2009. These fees were calculated by multiplying actual hours spent by each attorney and litigation staff member on this case by the billing rate for that attorney or staff member actually charged to the School at the time the work was performed, as set forth in Exhibit 2.
- 7. As reflected in Exhibits 1 and 2 hereto, the following MTO attorneys have billed time to this matter:
- a. Brad D. Brian billed a limited amount of time to this matter from 2009 to 2012. Mr. Brian graduated from Harvard Law School in 1977. He then served as a law clerk to Judge John J. Gibbons of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Mr. Brian is an MTO litigation partner of national prominence with extensive experience in False Claims Act litigation. He is, among other things, a Fellow in the American College of Trial Lawyers and served as chair of the ABA Litigation Section in 2005-2006. He has billed a limited number of hours to this matter at rates ranging from \$750 to \$925 per hour.
- b. I have billed time to this matter from 2009 through 2013. I graduated from UCLA School of Law in 2001. After law school, I clerked for Judge William B. Shubb on the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California and then joined MTO. I became a partner at MTO in 2008. My practice focuses on complex litigation and I have litigated multiple False Claims Act cases in

1

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- federal courts around the country. I currently bill out at two hourly rates, \$710 and \$625 per hour. At all times relevant to this action, I have billed at the lower of my two hourly rates. The hourly rates I billed on this matter from 2009 to 2013 have ranged from \$500 to \$625.
- Achyut J. Phadke, an MTO litigation associate, has billed time to c. this matter in 2012 and 2013. He graduated from Stanford Law School in 2008. Following law school, he clerked for Judge Pamela Ann Rymer on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Prior to joining MTO in 2012, he worked as a litigation associate at Sullivan & Cromwell LLP. He has billed on this matter in 2012 and 2013 at hourly rates of \$495 and \$500.
- Richard C. Chen, a former MTO litigation associate, billed a d. limited amount of time to this case in 2012 at a rate of \$445 per hour. Mr. Chen graduated from Harvard Law School in 2009. Following law school, he clerked for Judge Raymond C. Fisher on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit before joining MTO.
- Heather E. Takahashi, an MTO litigation associate, billed a e. limited amount of time on this case from 2009 through 2011. She graduated from Columbia University School of Law in 2006. After law school, she clerked for Judge Richard J. Holwell on the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York before joining MTO. Ms. Takahashi billed time on this matter in 2009 through 2011 at rates ranging from \$350 to \$460 per hour.
- Eric Kananen, an MTO staff counsel, billed less than one hour of f. time to this matter in 2012, at a rate of \$320 per hour.
- 8. As lead attorney on this matter, I have made significant efforts to ensure that attorney staffing and work in this case has been efficient and not duplicative. Other than a brief period in 2012 when Mr. Chen was transitioning off of this case and Mr. Phadke began to work on this case, only one associate has assisted with this matter at all times. Similarly, Mr. Brian's involvement in this case

3 4

6

7

8

5

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

has been limited to high-level strategic consultation, and he has billed a total of less than 10 hours of billable time to this matter after February 25, 2009.

- 9. As reflected in Exhibit 1 and 2 hereto, MTO litigation staff assisting counsel have billed at rates ranging from \$65 to \$265 per hour on this case. Billing rates for litigation staff are set commensurate with staff members' training, skill, and experience.
- 10. MTO sets its rates on a firm-wide basis and does not distinguish between rates for attorneys working in Los Angeles and attorneys who practice out of its San Francisco office. The attorneys who billed time to this matter included attorneys resident in the Los Angeles office, such as Mr. Brian, Ms. Takahashi, and Mr. Chen, and attorneys resident in the San Francisco office, such as me and Mr. Phadke.
- 11. I am generally familiar with the billing rates of attorneys and litigation staff at peer Los Angeles firms specializing in complex commercial litigation during the pendency of this case. Based on that familiarity, I am informed and believe that the foregoing rates are reasonable and consistent with, or lower than, prevailing market rates at peer firms.
- Published data from 2011 and 2012 public filings—as reflected in 12. Valeo Partners Attorney Hourly Rates and Fees Database of billing rates of California attorneys at comparable firms—confirms that the foregoing rates are consistent and competitive with prevailing market rates. The Valeo Partners data lists the rates of individual attorneys as collected from publicly filed documents like fee requests (and therefore only contains a sampling of lawyers from each firm). Attached as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct summary report of partner and associate billing rates at these firms prepared from the Valeo Partners data collected from the database on April 16, 2013. As reflected in Exhibit 3, senior partners with a law school graduation year of 1990 or before billed at hourly rates ranging between \$788 to \$1035. Partners (or, where no partner data is available, associates) with

graduation years between 2000 and 2002 billed at hourly rates ranging between \$640 to \$920 per hour. Associates graduating in 2008 billed at ranges between \$430 and \$625, and associates graduating in 2009 billed at ranges between \$420 and \$620. The rates charged by MTO for attorneys at similar seniority levels fall within these ranges. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 18, 2013, at San Francisco, California. /s/ Blanca F. Young Blanca F. Young